

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 DUKE PARTNERS II, LLC,

9 Plaintiff,

No. C 17-04335 WHA

10 v.

11 ARNOLDO G. CASILLAS and
12 IRMA V. JASSO,

13 Defendants.
14 _____/

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION TO REMAND
REMOVED ACTION**

15 Defendant Arnolando Casillas previously removed this action from state court, only to
16 have it remanded for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction because even though that removal was
17 predicated on federal-question jurisdiction, no federal question was presented in the complaint.
18 Undeterred, Casillas, this time with co-defendant Irma Jasso, once again improperly removed
19 this case to federal court based on federal-question jurisdiction with a new removal notice
20 copied and pasted from the previous defective notice. This order **GRANTS** plaintiff's motion to
21 remand (Dkt. 13) to the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, for the same reasons
22 set forth in the remand order in the related case. *See Duke Partners v. Casillas*, No. C 17-00936
23 WHA (Dkt. 20).

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: August 24, 2017.

27 
28 _____
WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE